

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,448	04/06/2005	Dirk Jan Broer	NL02 0969 US	6745
24738 7590 11/01/2007 PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 370 W. TRIMBLE ROAD MS 91/MG			EXAMINER	
			DUONG, THOI V	
SAN JOSE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2871		
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. 1		·T/J			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assists Comment	10/530,448	BROER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thoi V. Duong	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. NANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (06 April 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alle	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

10/530,448 Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, 13 and 14, drawn to a polarizing arrangement comprising a first linear polarizer having a first extinction axis and a second polarizer having a second extinction axis, classified in class 349, subclass 96.
 - II. Claim 12, drawn to a process of using an optically anisotropy body comprising a dichroic colorant which is homeotropically ordered with respect to a major surface of the body as a polarizer, classified in class 349, subclass 187.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the polarizer can be made by a different process of using an optically anisotropy body in which a dichroic colorant is planar

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

uniaxially oriented with respect to a major surface of the body, or the polarizer can be

made by using a non-absorbing dielectric stack.

10/530,448 Art Unit: 2871

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms, can be reached at (571) 272-1787.

Thoi V. Duong – Primary Examiner Thorn Sur

October 24, 2007